

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7368
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
Email: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 11-0180 CRB

Plaintiff,)

v.)

ALBERTO BARRAGAN ESQUEVEL,)
a/k/a Cruz Barragan Esquivel,)
a/k/a Manuel Cardenas,)
Defendant.)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

On March 30, 2011, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to April 13, 2011. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between March 30, 2011 and April 13, 2011, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties have represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

///

At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

SO STIPULATED:

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

DATED: March 30, 2011

/s/
LOWELL C. POWELL
Special Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: March 30, 2011

/s/
RONALD TYLER
Attorney for ALBERTO BARRAGAN ESQUEVEL

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the March 30, 2011 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from March 30, 2011 through April 13, 2011 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny counsel for the defendant and for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 03/31/11

THE HONORABLE JUDGE JOSEPH C. SPERO
United States District Court for the Northern District of California

